

Created by event.video

0

00:00:02.700 --> 00:00:05.700

Yes, thank you, Mr. Kazelko.

1

00:00:07.200 --> 00:00:10.300

Thank you, Mr. Gazelle Cove forum,

2

00:00:10.300 --> 00:00:13.400

sncsm for the Horseman's group. I just wanted to

3

00:00:13.400 --> 00:00:16.400

pick up on one point of the methodology there you've expressed

4

00:00:16.400 --> 00:00:19.200

which was weather. One of

5

00:00:19.200 --> 00:00:23.400

the concerns we have and one of the relevant matters for both
landscape

6

00:00:22.400 --> 00:00:25.700

and Heritage is the

7

00:00:25.700 --> 00:00:28.800

mitigation provided by the existing foliage and

8

00:00:28.800 --> 00:00:32.600

of a tree cover. When you

9

00:00:32.600 --> 00:00:35.300

examine certain areas around the site

10

00:00:35.300 --> 00:00:38.900

at different times of a year, you're going to have the benefit of
different amounts

11

00:00:38.900 --> 00:00:41.800

of tree cover and foliage cover and in

12

00:00:41.800 --> 00:00:44.200

particular, I would draw attention to the fact

13

00:00:44.200 --> 00:00:47.500

that you may see much less of that in January, February and March

14

00:00:47.500 --> 00:00:51.600

which is when the impacts maybe most severe I

15

00:00:50.600 --> 00:00:53.300

raise that primarily to

16

00:00:53.300 --> 00:00:56.300

say that we would want the examining authority

17

00:00:56.300 --> 00:00:59.200

to take back into account and particularly consider whether it
needs

18

00:00:59.200 --> 00:01:02.400

to go back to certain places, for example,

19

00:01:02.400 --> 00:01:05.400

the lion kills to see it when there is minimal cover

20

00:01:05.400 --> 00:01:07.100

provided by deciduous trees.

21

00:01:08.800 --> 00:01:11.200

Yes, thank you very much. I think we're certainly on

22

00:01:11.200 --> 00:01:14.600

the case in that respect and we understand the challenges there and

23

00:01:14.600 --> 00:01:16.100

they'll be taken into account. Yeah.

24

00:01:17.300 --> 00:01:20.600

There was another hand raised. Yes, the

25

00:01:20.600 --> 00:01:23.800

gentleman the back. Could you give your name please? Yes. Good

26

00:01:23.800 --> 00:01:26.400

afternoon, Nicolas. Aklin, reached Parish

27

00:01:26.400 --> 00:01:29.300

Council. I just wanders whether you

28

00:01:29.300 --> 00:01:32.900

has any plans for doing a site inspection at where's

29

00:01:32.900 --> 00:01:35.000

drove at the stage and rather

30

00:01:35.600 --> 00:01:38.600

conscious that any activity there could be nugatory depending

31

00:01:38.600 --> 00:01:41.200

on the final decisions being made

32

00:01:41.200 --> 00:01:44.300

by National Grid, but clearly if you are

33

00:01:44.300 --> 00:01:47.300

to continue with that option, I think it's vitally important that you pay

34

00:01:47.300 --> 00:01:47.900

a visit there.

35

00:01:48.900 --> 00:01:51.700

We haven't yet decided on

36

00:01:51.700 --> 00:01:55.400

the details of the further accompanied

37

00:01:54.400 --> 00:01:58.500

site inspections. So so far

38

00:01:58.500 --> 00:02:01.200

obviously, we've got one tomorrow. So we have the details for

39

00:02:01.200 --> 00:02:04.600

that. We'll make a note of that and

40

00:02:04.600 --> 00:02:07.200

consider that along with all the other

41

00:02:07.200 --> 00:02:08.600

suggestions that we've been made.

42

00:02:10.300 --> 00:02:10.500

Thank you.

43

00:02:13.900 --> 00:02:15.700

Any further questions or comments?

44

00:02:18.600 --> 00:02:21.400

No in that case, I'll hand back to Mr. Rigby.

45

00:02:22.400 --> 00:02:25.100

Thank you Mrs. Taylor. I'll now move on to

46

00:02:25.100 --> 00:02:28.800

hearings as I mentioned on the first day of this preliminary

47

00:02:28.800 --> 00:02:31.200

meeting. We haven't made any assumptions about

48

00:02:31.200 --> 00:02:35.100

how future hearings will be held although currently

49

00:02:34.100 --> 00:02:37.400

we anticipate they'll take place

50

00:02:37.400 --> 00:02:40.100

like this preliminary meeting is a blended

51

00:02:40.100 --> 00:02:40.400

event.

52

00:02:41.200 --> 00:02:44.100

or a fully virtual event via Microsoft teams

53

00:02:45.400 --> 00:02:48.200

the event the agendas for these hearings will be published

54

00:02:48.200 --> 00:02:49.200

near the time.

55

00:02:51.500 --> 00:02:54.400

I don't know now just to any further comments on

56

00:02:54.400 --> 00:02:58.100

that point and in particular views on

57

00:02:58.100 --> 00:03:01.700

whether you have a preference for any future hearings to be Blended events

58

00:03:01.700 --> 00:03:02.000

like today.

59

00:03:02.900 --> 00:03:04.400

or fully virtual

60

00:03:05.200 --> 00:03:06.300

does anyone wish to

61

00:03:07.500 --> 00:03:07.900

comment

62

00:03:10.200 --> 00:03:13.300

Sir Michael bedford's Suffolk County Council,

63

00:03:13.300 --> 00:03:16.600

so we are content with the

64

00:03:16.600 --> 00:03:20.400

Blended events and certainly it

65

00:03:20.400 --> 00:03:21.400

seems that the

66

00:03:22.800 --> 00:03:25.300

Technical difficulties that occurred at

67

00:03:25.300 --> 00:03:29.400

the first preliminary meeting have not repeated themselves today.

68

00:03:28.400 --> 00:03:31.600

We're also

69

00:03:31.600 --> 00:03:34.400

familiar also with fully virtually vents, which

70

00:03:34.400 --> 00:03:37.600

so far as we're aware will work

71

00:03:37.600 --> 00:03:40.100

effectively, but we're quite happy with Blended events.

72

00:03:41.300 --> 00:03:43.100

Thank you, Mr. Bedford. That's noted.

73

00:03:43.900 --> 00:03:44.500

And yes.

74

00:03:45.600 --> 00:03:48.600

Could you give your name please? Paula McKenzie of Wellington

75

00:03:48.600 --> 00:03:51.900

Parish Council. We would prefer a blended meeting

76

00:03:51.900 --> 00:03:54.300

due to the internet connections around our

77

00:03:54.300 --> 00:03:56.400

way to be honest. They can be very

78

00:03:57.700 --> 00:03:58.300

unefficient

79

00:03:59.200 --> 00:03:59.900
Thank you. Thank you.

80
00:04:03.100 --> 00:04:04.200
Any other comments? Yes.

81
00:04:05.400 --> 00:04:08.200
Daniel kozelco for snscm for

82
00:04:08.200 --> 00:04:11.600
the Horseman's group and I would mirror the last point and also
just raise

83
00:04:11.600 --> 00:04:14.900
the issue that for some people either Financial or

84
00:04:14.900 --> 00:04:17.300
difficulty with technology attending in person will

85
00:04:17.300 --> 00:04:20.500
be better. And so our suggestion will be all meetings unless

86
00:04:20.500 --> 00:04:22.900
there's a particular reason for it should be a blended event.

87
00:04:24.100 --> 00:04:24.500
Thank you.

88
00:04:28.100 --> 00:04:31.700
Thank you. Everyone. We will take accounts about these suggestions

89
00:04:31.700 --> 00:04:34.800
and other suggestions made when

90
00:04:34.800 --> 00:04:36.100
confirming the draft time table.

91
00:04:37.100 --> 00:04:40.200
And just to remind everyone in respect to

92
00:04:40.200 --> 00:04:43.200

the timetable do please adhere to the final

93

00:04:43.200 --> 00:04:46.200

timetable. Just reminder of

94

00:04:46.200 --> 00:04:47.600

what Mr. Keen said earlier.

95

00:04:48.600 --> 00:04:52.200

For basically in the interest of fairness to All Passes need

96

00:04:51.200 --> 00:04:54.400

to adhere to the final timetable answer the

97

00:04:54.400 --> 00:04:56.300

deadlines in it when it's published.

98

00:04:57.200 --> 00:05:00.300

In particular, please don't send a submissions other than

99

00:05:00.300 --> 00:05:01.600

prescribed deadline.

100

00:05:02.300 --> 00:05:05.100

There are a lot of interested parties here and we only have

101

00:05:05.100 --> 00:05:08.700

the statutory time frame. We won't accept or

102

00:05:08.700 --> 00:05:11.500

publish additional submissions which are not in accordance

103

00:05:11.500 --> 00:05:12.800

with the published timetable.

104

00:05:13.500 --> 00:05:16.800

Other than in exceptional circumstances where we

105

00:05:16.800 --> 00:05:19.200

judge that publication is necessary for a good

106

00:05:19.200 --> 00:05:19.400

reason.

107

00:05:20.500 --> 00:05:23.500

So thanks everybody very much and I'll now

108

00:05:23.500 --> 00:05:24.600

hand you back to Mr. King.

109

00:05:26.500 --> 00:05:30.000

All right. Remember to put his own

110

00:05:29.900 --> 00:05:34.000

unmute good. Thank

111

00:05:32.100 --> 00:05:35.100

you everyone. I just want to make sure

112

00:05:35.100 --> 00:05:38.400

that if anybody's got any final comments

113

00:05:38.400 --> 00:05:41.500

to make on the timetable. I know Mr. Turney, I

114

00:05:41.500 --> 00:05:44.600

think your clients made a number of suggestions in

115

00:05:44.600 --> 00:05:45.600

their written submissions.

116

00:05:46.500 --> 00:05:49.500

State others, so we will be taking into

117

00:05:49.500 --> 00:05:52.400

account all of those in trying to

118

00:05:52.400 --> 00:05:55.600

get the best possible fit as it were for the

119

00:05:55.600 --> 00:05:58.200

final timetable that that is will be

120

00:05:58.200 --> 00:05:59.700

issued very shortly now.

121

00:06:01.100 --> 00:06:04.600

So thank you Richard Turney for

122

00:06:04.600 --> 00:06:07.400

the applicant. Thank you. You've noted

123

00:06:07.400 --> 00:06:10.400

the three short points that we made in our

124

00:06:10.400 --> 00:06:13.200

written submissions and they're really about

125

00:06:13.200 --> 00:06:17.200

the first who are about the proximity of

126

00:06:17.200 --> 00:06:20.300

certain deadlines and we just have a concern to

127

00:06:20.300 --> 00:06:23.900

ensure that the deadlines can be properly met and

128

00:06:23.900 --> 00:06:26.800

addressed in a proper way. And then

129

00:06:26.800 --> 00:06:29.200

the third point is

130

00:06:29.200 --> 00:06:32.500

really more practical one that we're keen

131

00:06:32.500 --> 00:06:35.700

to ensure. You don't repeatedly get the same submissions and

132

00:06:35.700 --> 00:06:39.500

multiple deadlines and perhaps breaking up

133

00:06:39.500 --> 00:06:42.400

the due dates for particular updated documents will

134

00:06:42.400 --> 00:06:45.400

be more efficient way, but we leave those

135

00:06:45.400 --> 00:06:48.500

with you and we content with the with the

136

00:06:48.500 --> 00:06:53.300

overall approach in the examination. I think the observation

137

00:06:52.300 --> 00:06:53.700

from

138

00:06:55.200 --> 00:06:58.300

As I understand from Mr. Rigby's that the first set of

139

00:06:58.300 --> 00:07:01.400

hearings will not take place as a scheduled

140

00:07:01.400 --> 00:07:04.100

in November, but obviously

141

00:07:07.200 --> 00:07:10.400

can we anticipate that that means there'll be another

142

00:07:10.400 --> 00:07:14.600

hearing block introduced or that the existing hearing

143

00:07:14.600 --> 00:07:17.500

blocks in December and February will be expanded to to reflect

144

00:07:17.500 --> 00:07:17.800

that.

145

00:07:18.600 --> 00:07:21.200

Yeah, I mean the the Block in December

146

00:07:21.200 --> 00:07:24.300

is is certainly a week that we would want to make full

147

00:07:24.300 --> 00:07:27.300

use of in looking at those

148

00:07:27.300 --> 00:07:31.000

environmental issues specific hearings. The dco

149

00:07:30.300 --> 00:07:34.900

hearing is going to come before that. So we'll

150

00:07:33.900 --> 00:07:36.600

get that done plus the additional

151

00:07:36.600 --> 00:07:39.900

accompanied inspections earlier as

152

00:07:39.900 --> 00:07:42.400

well one of the principal reasons for

153

00:07:43.900 --> 00:07:46.400

Looking at the ish's later on was

154

00:07:46.400 --> 00:07:50.300

because that will then be after the formal submission

155

00:07:49.300 --> 00:07:52.500

deadline for the written submissions,

156

00:07:52.500 --> 00:07:55.400

but also quite importantly the statements of common ground

157

00:07:55.400 --> 00:07:58.500

which is and I no apologies

158

00:07:58.500 --> 00:08:01.600

for reiterating. It's quite important that if we

159

00:08:01.600 --> 00:08:04.900

can get those to detail

160

00:08:04.900 --> 00:08:08.100
the precise areas of dispute

161

00:08:07.100 --> 00:08:10.300
that we can then drill down

162

00:08:10.300 --> 00:08:13.500
into that will make the hearings that much

163

00:08:13.500 --> 00:08:14.300
more productive.

164

00:08:16.400 --> 00:08:21.100
So thank you for that, Mr. Turney any

165

00:08:19.100 --> 00:08:22.500
other comments Mr. Cazelka?

166

00:08:23.600 --> 00:08:26.400
Thank you. So Mr. Gazelka for sncs. I'm

167

00:08:26.400 --> 00:08:29.700
for the Horseman's group. I just wanted to ask a

168

00:08:29.700 --> 00:08:32.700
couple of questions in respect to the ish shares.

169

00:08:32.700 --> 00:08:35.400
Hmm. Currently the way they're addressed in.

170

00:08:35.400 --> 00:08:38.100
The draft timetable is time slots are providing for them but

171

00:08:38.100 --> 00:08:41.300
was no direction as to what specific issue will be dealt

172

00:08:41.300 --> 00:08:45.200
with on each day. Does the examining Authority envisage

173

00:08:44.200 --> 00:08:48.400

asking for input from the over

174

00:08:47.400 --> 00:08:50.400

parties to missing to this examination

175

00:08:50.400 --> 00:08:53.700

as to your ring of the

176

00:08:53.700 --> 00:08:56.100

relevant ish is and what should

177

00:08:56.100 --> 00:08:59.500

occur at them. I raise this for two reasons one because some

178

00:08:59.500 --> 00:09:03.100

issues May appropriately fall after over issues. What's

179

00:09:02.100 --> 00:09:05.300

also some parties around this

180

00:09:05.300 --> 00:09:08.800

table may want to bring relevant advisors

181

00:09:08.800 --> 00:09:12.000

and experts to certain meetings. So as

182

00:09:11.200 --> 00:09:15.600

to best assist you in determining this examination appropriately

183

00:09:14.600 --> 00:09:17.400

obviously, we've not got the written

184

00:09:17.400 --> 00:09:20.500

representation stage yet, but there will be party sat

185

00:09:20.500 --> 00:09:23.500

around this table who have instructed expert advis.

186

00:09:23.600 --> 00:09:26.600

They would wish to attend certain meetings and

187

00:09:26.600 --> 00:09:29.500

the availability of those will be important and I

188

00:09:29.500 --> 00:09:32.300

raise that particularly because I saw in the

189

00:09:32.300 --> 00:09:35.900

most recent letter from been some Mason's replying

190

00:09:35.900 --> 00:09:38.400

to the examining Authority with the

191

00:09:38.400 --> 00:09:42.600

availability of council has been given by Vincent Masons

192

00:09:42.600 --> 00:09:45.500

if that's going to be taken into account we

193

00:09:45.500 --> 00:09:48.300

would say about actually the case for all the parties will attending

194

00:09:48.300 --> 00:09:51.300

these hearings not leases several members

195

00:09:51.300 --> 00:09:54.900

of council sat around this table and lots of experts sat

196

00:09:54.900 --> 00:09:55.400

in the background.

197

00:09:56.100 --> 00:09:59.600

They're at the fair point Thank you, Mr. Casalco.

198

00:10:00.800 --> 00:10:03.300

I mean the basic answer

199

00:10:03.300 --> 00:10:06.800

is that we will give a good notice of

200

00:10:06.800 --> 00:10:09.200
the of the hearings and of the

201
00:10:09.200 --> 00:10:12.700
agenda. So that should enable those

202
00:10:12.700 --> 00:10:15.800
who intend to participate to have

203
00:10:15.800 --> 00:10:19.400
briefed the necessary experts in order

204
00:10:18.400 --> 00:10:21.900
to attend. We will give very careful

205
00:10:21.900 --> 00:10:24.600
consideration to the order of

206
00:10:24.600 --> 00:10:27.500
subjects that will be addressed out

207
00:10:27.500 --> 00:10:31.500
those at those hearings. So great.

208
00:10:30.500 --> 00:10:34.300
I can't be more precise at this stage except

209
00:10:33.300 --> 00:10:36.100
to say that, you know,

210
00:10:36.100 --> 00:10:39.200
we will give two notice of the agenda for

211
00:10:39.200 --> 00:10:42.800
the for those for those hearings. If I ask two

212
00:10:42.800 --> 00:10:45.700
directed questions arising out of that. So the

213
00:10:45.700 --> 00:10:48.000
first I might take are we to take

214

00:10:48.200 --> 00:10:51.700

from that but we won't be asked to import the party's

215

00:10:51.700 --> 00:10:54.300

around the table on which Isa has happened

216

00:10:54.300 --> 00:10:58.400

when and well sorry. Well,

217

00:10:57.400 --> 00:11:00.200

I was going to say I think the answer to that

218

00:11:00.200 --> 00:11:00.500

is

219

00:11:00.800 --> 00:11:04.500

If there is an appropriate time within the

220

00:11:04.500 --> 00:11:06.500

timetable that is published.

221

00:11:07.200 --> 00:11:11.100

For representations to be made I

222

00:11:10.100 --> 00:11:16.200

see no reason why they should not include a request

223

00:11:14.200 --> 00:11:17.200

for certain matters to

224

00:11:17.200 --> 00:11:20.600

be dealt with in advance of others, but I obviously, you know, the panel

225

00:11:20.600 --> 00:11:24.100

couldn't give any guarantee as to

226

00:11:23.100 --> 00:11:26.400

that but I say

227

00:11:26.400 --> 00:11:30.000

we'll give you know, very careful consideration to

228

00:11:29.100 --> 00:11:32.500

which issues should be determined first that may

229

00:11:32.500 --> 00:11:35.400

help. Thank you. So it

230

00:11:35.400 --> 00:11:38.800

does and the second question was one about availability is

231

00:11:38.800 --> 00:11:41.300

about something that the examining Authority will be

232

00:11:41.300 --> 00:11:45.100

taking into account or not. It's quite self-sacrificing

233

00:11:44.100 --> 00:11:47.200

of you to mention what you

234

00:11:47.200 --> 00:11:51.100

did in terms of council's ability, I think.

235

00:11:53.200 --> 00:11:56.200

I think it's all the consideration and I think

236

00:11:56.200 --> 00:11:59.500

you seem to be thinking along same lines as mean in as

237

00:11:59.500 --> 00:11:59.700

much as

238

00:12:00.700 --> 00:12:03.500

Well, for example Council who

239

00:12:03.500 --> 00:12:06.300

were present at the preliminary meeting when

240

00:12:06.300 --> 00:12:10.700

it started for whatever reason we

241

00:12:09.700 --> 00:12:12.300

we have others present today,

242

00:12:12.300 --> 00:12:15.800

and that's and that's fine. I think that's the way that's the

243

00:12:15.800 --> 00:12:20.400

way it usually works isn't it? So we will

244

00:12:20.400 --> 00:12:23.100

listen to all the representations that are that are made

245

00:12:23.100 --> 00:12:26.500

about vainability, but you will appreciate

246

00:12:26.500 --> 00:12:30.100

that that some issues about

247

00:12:29.100 --> 00:12:33.200

availability are probably given

248

00:12:32.200 --> 00:12:35.300

greater priority than others.

249

00:12:35.900 --> 00:12:38.600

I understand sir. And actually my primary concern

250

00:12:38.600 --> 00:12:41.500

is the experts and ensuring an equality of arms across

251

00:12:41.500 --> 00:12:44.800

the table, but I understand them. Thank you very much. Okay. Well,

252

00:12:44.800 --> 00:12:46.400

I would just say in respect of that.

253

00:12:47.600 --> 00:12:50.100

Don't feel as if you are unable to

254

00:12:50.100 --> 00:12:53.300
engage with each other offline as

255

00:12:53.300 --> 00:12:56.500
it were outside the formal hearings of the of the

256

00:12:56.500 --> 00:12:57.100
examination.

257

00:12:59.800 --> 00:13:00.100
Thank you.

258

00:13:01.700 --> 00:13:04.500
Okay, right. So I think we'll now

259

00:13:04.500 --> 00:13:07.500
come to the last item if any other matters if

260

00:13:07.500 --> 00:13:10.500
there are any of the matters I haven't had any that

261

00:13:10.500 --> 00:13:13.600
have been notified to me. Are there

262

00:13:13.600 --> 00:13:16.700
any items in relation to procedural other matters

263

00:13:16.700 --> 00:13:19.600
that anybody wishes to raise after what they've heard today?

264

00:13:21.600 --> 00:13:24.300
Okay, when in that case I'll move to

265

00:13:24.300 --> 00:13:26.300
close the meeting. Thank you all for attending.

266

00:13:27.100 --> 00:13:30.500
And for your contributions and we will look

267

00:13:30.500 --> 00:13:34.200

forward to commencing the examination tomorrow notes and

268

00:13:34.200 --> 00:13:37.500

digital recording of the proceedings will be made available as soon

269

00:13:37.500 --> 00:13:40.300

as practicable on the project page of the website.

270

00:13:41.400 --> 00:13:44.800

And the rule eight

271

00:13:44.800 --> 00:13:47.600

letter will be sent out following the close

272

00:13:47.600 --> 00:13:51.600

of this meeting time is now 1527

273

00:13:50.600 --> 00:13:53.100

and the preliminary meeting

274

00:13:53.100 --> 00:13:56.100

on Seneca solar farm project is now closed. Thank you very

275

00:13:56.100 --> 00:13:56.300

much.